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## Thymidylate synthase (TS) - Essential enzyme

Catalytic activity:


## Critical target in cancer therapy

Cancer types:

- ovarian
- colorectal
- breast
- head and neck
- pancreas
- gastric



## Homodimer - Two active sites



## Current TS enzyme inhibitors:

a) Substrate (dUMP) analogs:

* e.g. 5-fluorouracil



b) Cofactor (5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate) analogs:
* e.g. raltitrexed




## Autoregulation of TS synthesis <br> - Mechanism of drug resistance

## Regulatory activity:
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## Autoregulation of TS synthesis <br> - Mechanism of drug resistance

Regulatory activity:
$\rightarrow$ Blocks the translation of TS mRNA to TS protein


* Ligand binding disrupts the regulation
$\rightarrow$ Drug resistance



## Aim of the project <br> - Development of better TS inhibitors -

## Obligate dimer... <br> Disrupting the dimer - novel way of inhibiting the enzyme?



1) Disrupt the dimer

## OR

2) Inhibit dimerization

* With peptidic or small molecules
* Without causing drug resistance


## Analysis of the dimer interface

- Hot Spots -


## Hot Spots

Predicting hot spots - residues important for dimerization


FoldX* / Robetta** Web servers:
$\rightarrow$ Free energy change (ddG) upon alanine mutation

Hot spot: $\geq 1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ Neutral residue: < $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

* http://foldx.embl.de; ** http://robetta.bakerlab.org/


## Hot Spots



FoldX* / Robetta**:

## Hot Spots


$\rightarrow$ Mutations to test the hot spots: can we disrupt the dimer?

If Yes:
$\rightarrow$ Design ligands that bind in the proximity of the hot spots

* Range of ddG (dimer) for the predicted hot spots: $1.5-5.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


# Analysis of the dimer interface <br> - Interface crevices in X-ray structure - 

Interface crevices in the hTS dimer
$\rightarrow$ Cavities at the edges of the dimer interface Software: PASS 1.1 / SITE-ID (Sybyl 7.3) / CASTp


232 / $362 \AA^{3}(I, W)$

$25 \AA^{3}(W)$

## Interface crevices in the hTS monomer

$\rightarrow \quad$ Two relatively deep cavities and one shallow pocket - not present in dimer


# Analysis of the dimer interface - Transient interface pockets by MD - 

See for example:
Wong et al., Proteins, 61, 850, 2005
Eyrisch and Helms, J. Med. Chem. 50, 3457, 2007

## Transient interface pockets?

## $\rightarrow$ MD simulations of the TS monomer



- AMBER 8, ff03
- 1HVY.pdb (A chain)
- No ligands
- NPSA* implicit water model
- $300 \mathrm{~K}, 7 \mathrm{~ns}$
- heating in 3 steps
- reference MD with TIP3P water
* Wang and Wade, Proteins 50, 158, 2003


## MD Trajectory analysis: Atomic fluctuations




## MD Trajectory analysis: Conformational changes



Initial structure
Final frame NPSA
Final frame TIP3P


## Interface pocket dynamics

1) Pockets changing size


## Interface pocket dynamics

2) New pockets appearing


## Interface pocket dynamics

3) Pockets disappearing (reappearing)


## Summary and future work
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## Summary and future work

1) Aim: Examine the possibility to inhibit hTS by disrupting the obligate dimer.
2) Predicted hot spots at the hTS interface (experiments in progress).
3) Identified interface cavities in the hTS crystal structure.
4) Performed MD simulations to find additional transient interface pockets at the monomer interface.

Future:
$\rightarrow$ Use the identified pockets for virtual screening of ligand libraries.
$\rightarrow$ Test the ligands against hTS.
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